arXiv:math/0106055v2 [math.AG] 6 Nov 2003

Abelian varieties with group action[1]

by

H. Lange [2] and S. Recillas [3]

Abstract

A finite group G acting on an abelian variety A induces a decomposition of A up to isogeny. In this paper we investigate several aspects of this decomposition. We apply the results to the decomposition of the Jacobian variety of a smooth projective curve with G-action. The aim is to express the decomposition in terms of the G-action of the curve. As examples of the results we work out the decompositions of Jacobians with an action of the symmetric group of degree 4, the alternating group of degree 5, the dihedral groups of order $2p$ and $4p$, and the quaternion group.

Introduction

Let G be a finite group acting on a smooth projective curve X. This induces an action of G on the Jacobian JX of X and thus a decomposition of JX up to isogeny. The most prominent example of such a situation is the case of the group $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of two elements. Let $\pi : X \to Y = X/G$ denote the canonical quotient map. The first to notice that JX is isogenous to the product $JY \times P(X/Y)$ was probably Wirtinger (see [W]). The abelian variety $P(X/Y)$ was later called by Mumford the Prym variety of the covering π, because of its relation to certain Prym differentials.

The group action of some other special groups were studied by Recillas who in [Re] decomposed the Jacobian of the Galois covering of a simple trigonal cover, Ries who in [Ri] decomposed the Jacobian of a D_p-gonal curve, Recillas-Rodríguez (S_3 in [RR1] and S_4 in [RR2]), Donagi-Markman (S_3, S_4 and WD_4 in [DM]), Carocca-Recillas-Rodríguez (the dihedral groups in [CRR]), and Sánchez-Argáez (A_5 in [SA2]). There are also some general results: First of all there is the classical paper of Chevalley-Weil (see [CW]) which computes how many times an irreducible complex representation appears in the tangent space T_0JX in terms of the fixed points under elements of G and its stabilizer subgroups. Finally Donagi in [D] and Mérindol in [M] found the decomposition of JX in the case of a finite group G all of whose irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations are absolutely irreducible.

It is the aim of the present paper to prove some general results on abelian varieties with action by a finite group G and deduce from them a method to decompose the Jacobian JX of a curve X in terms of the G-action of the curve. It will be applied in several examples.

Our point of view for doing this is the following: Let G be a finite group acting on an abelian variety A. The action induces an algebra homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{Q}[G] \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$.

---

[1] 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14H40; Secondary: 14K02

[2] Supported by CONACYT 27962-E

[3] Supported by CONACYT 27962-E and DAAD

We define Im $(\alpha) := \mathrm{Im}\ (\rho(m\alpha)) \subseteq A$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ where m is some positive integer such that $m\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Let

$$1 = e_1 + \ldots + e_r$$

denote the decomposition of $1 \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ into the sum of central orthogonal idempotents e_i of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ corresponding to the simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras associated to $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. If $A_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (e_i)$, then it is obvious that the A_i are G-stable abelian subvarieties of A with $\mathrm{Hom}_G(A_i, A_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ such that the addition map induces an isogeny

$$A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \to A,$$

called the *isotypical decomposition* of A. The essential point here is that one can explicitly describe the elements e_i in terms of the complex characters of G, which allows to actually compute the isotypical decomposition in many cases. In Section 2 we decompose the G-abelian subvarieties A_i further. In fact, if

$$e_i = f_{i_1} + \ldots + f_{i_{n_i}}$$

is any decomposition of e_i into a sum of orthogonal primitive idempotents, this gives rise to an isogeny decomposition

$$B_{i_1} \times \ldots \times B_{i_{n_i}} \to A_i$$

with $B_{i_j} = \mathrm{Im}\ (f_{i_j})$. One can show that $B_{i_1}, \ldots, B_{i_{n_i}}$ are isogenous to each other, so that finally we obtain an isogeny decomposition

$$A \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r} \tag{1}$$

Here the abelian varieties B_i correspond to the different irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G. This generalizes a result of Mérindol [M] who deduced the same decomposition in the special case that all irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G are absolutely irreducible.

In Section 3 we apply these results to the case of a Jacobian variety with G-action. Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus ≥ 2 over the field of complex numbers and let G be a finite group acting on X with quotient $\pi : X \to Y := X/G$. Let $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ denote the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G, where we assume that W_1 is the trivial representation. Then if n_i denotes the dimension of W_i as a D_i-left vector space where $D_i = \mathrm{End}_G(W_i)$, then the isogeny decomposition (1) of the Jacobian JX reads

$$JX \sim \pi^* JY \times B_2^{n_2} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r}. \tag{2}$$

The first problem is of course to see which of the abelian varieties B_i are nonzero. This certainly depends on the curve X and not only on the group G. However, we show in Theorem 3.1 that if the genus of Y is ≥ 2, then $B_i \neq 0$ for all i.
The second problem is to describe the abelian variety B_i in terms of G-action of the the curve X and its quotients, that is for example in terms of the Prym varieties of the intermediate coverings of $\pi : X \to Y$. Recall that if $M \subset N \subset G$ are subgroups with intermediate covering $\varphi : X_M \to X_N$ where $X_M = X/M$ and $X_N = X/N$, then the *Prym variety* $P(X_M/X_N)$ of φ is defined as the complement of $\varphi^* JX_N$ in JX_M with respect to the canonical polarization and we have

$$JX_M \sim \varphi^* JX_N \times P(X_M/X_N).$$

It is a priori not clear that the isogeny decomposition of $P(X_M/X_N)$ underlies the isogeny decomposition (2), since the abelian varieties B_i are not simple in general. But Proposition 3.7 says that this is the case. In fact, we show that there are non negative integers s_i such that

$$P(X_M/X_N) \sim B_2^{s_2} \times \ldots \times B_r^{s_r}.$$

This can be used to compute the isogeny decomposition of JX in many cases. In Section 4 we work out the examples $G = S_4, A_5$, the dihedral groups D_p and D_{2p} for an odd prime p and the quaternion group Q_8.

Although some of the results are valid for abelian varieties over an arbitrary algebraically closed fields, we need for the essential theorems the description of an abelian variety as a complex torus. So for the sake of simplicity we assume the base field to be the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.

Acknowlegements: The first author would like to thank Gabriele Nebe for teaching him some aspects of the theory of $\mathbb{Q}$-representations. The second author wants to thank A. Sánchez-Argáez for helpful conversations. This work was carried out during a visit of the first author to Morelia and of the second author to Erlangen under the DAAD-UNAM exchange program. We also thank the ICTP, Trieste, where the final version of the paper was written.

1. The isotypical decomposition

Let A be an abelian variety over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and let G denote a finite group acting on A. The action induces an algebra homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathbb{Q}[G] \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$$

where $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ denotes the group algebra of G over $\mathbb{Q}$. For the sake of simplicity we often consider an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ also as an element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ i.e. we write α instead of $\rho(\alpha)$.

If α is any element in $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, we define

$$\mathrm{Im}\ (\alpha) := \mathrm{Im}\ (\rho(m\alpha)) \subseteq A$$

where m is some positive integer such that $m\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Im (α) is an abelian subvariety of A, which certainly does not depend on the chosen integer m. In order to obtain proper abelian subvarieties we have to choose suitable elements α of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$.

For this recall that $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of finite dimension. As such it admits a unique decomposition

$$\mathbb{Q}[G] = Q_1 \times \ldots \times Q_r \tag{1.1}$$

with simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $Q_1, \ldots, Q_r$. Let

$$1 = e_1 + \ldots + e_r \tag{1.2}$$

denote the decomposition of the unit element 1 given by (1.1). The elements e_i are the unit elements of the algebras Q_i and form, when considered as elements of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, a set of orthogonal idempotents contained in the center of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$.

Proposition 1.1: *Let* $A_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (e_i)$ *for* $i = 1, \dots, r$.

(a) A_i *is a* G*-stable abelian subvariety of* A *with* $\mathrm{Hom}_G(A_i, A_j) = 0$ *for* $i \neq j$.

(b) The addition map induces an isogeny

$$\mu : A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \to A.$$

This decomposition is called the *isotypical decomposition* of A. It is unique up to permutation, since the idempotents e_i are uniquely determined by $\mathbb{Q}[G]$.

Proof: (a) Let n_i be a positive integer such that $n_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. The idempotent e_i being in the center of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, we obtain

$$gA_i = g(n_i e_i)A = n_i e_i g A \subseteq n_i e_i A = A_i.$$

The second assertion follows from the fact that the Q_i are simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras with $Q_i \not\simeq Q_j$ for $i \neq j$. Assertion (b) is an immediate consequence of (1.2). □

In order to obtain the isotypical decomposition of A, one proceeds as follows: The action of G induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & \mathrm{End}(T_0A) \\ \mathbb{Q}[G] \arrow[ur, "\tilde{\rho}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho"'] & \\ & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) \arrow[uu, "\rho_a"'] \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.3}$$

where T_0A denotes the tangent space of A in 0 and ρ_a is the analytic representation of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. So G acts compatibly on the tangent space T_0A.
Denote by Λ the lattice in T_0A such that $A \simeq T_0A/\Lambda$. Defining $U_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (\tilde{\rho}(e_i))$, we obtain

$$A_i = U_i/\Lambda \cap U_i$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and the isogeny $\mu : A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \to A$ induces a G-decomposition

$$T_0A = U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_r.$$

If W_i denotes the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation of G corresponding to the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra Q_i (in fact, W_i is a minimal left ideal of Q_i), we call U_i *the* G*-subspace of* T_0A *associated to* W_i. The idea for computing these subspaces is to express the idempotents e_i in terms of the representations of G.
This can be done as follows:

Let $V_1, \dots, V_s$ denote the irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representations of the group G with corresponding characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s$. Then

$$p_j := \frac{\deg \chi_j}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi_j(g)} g \in \mathbb{C}[G]$$

is a projector of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with image the sum of all sub-representations of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ isomorphic to V_j.
Since the values $\chi_j(g)$ are roots of unity, the field

$$K_j = \mathbb{Q}(\chi_j(g), g \in G)$$

is cyclotomic of degree say d_j over $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular $K_j \,|\, \mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension. The conjugate representations of V_j, i.e. the representations associated to the conjugate characters $\sigma\chi_j$ ($\sigma \in$ Gal $(K_j|\mathbb{Q})$) are again irreducible over $\mathbb{C}$ and non isomorphic to each other. Hence they are among the V_i's. Let $V_{j_1} = V_j, V_{j_2}, \dots, V_{j_{d_j}}$ be the different irreducible representations conjugate to V_j. Their projectors p_{j_ν} are pairwise orthogonal and their sum $p_{j_1} + \dots + p_{j_{d_j}}$ is again a projector. It is a projector of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, since

$$\begin{aligned} p_{j_1} + \dots + p_{j_{d_j}} &= \frac{\deg \chi_j}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\overline{\chi_{j_1}(g)} + \dots + \overline{\chi_{j_{d_j}}(g)}) \cdot g \\ &= \frac{\deg \chi_j}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} tr_{K_j|\mathbb{Q}}(\chi_j(g)) \cdot g \quad \in \mathbb{Q}[G]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that all characters χ_{j_ν} are of the same degree. The image of the projector $p_{j_1} + \dots + p_{j_{d_j}}$ in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ consists of the sum of all subrepresentations of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ isomorphic to one of the V_{j_ν}. But this is just Q_j, the image of the idempotent e_j. Hence we have

$$e_j = p_{j_1} + \dots + p_{j_{d_j}} = \frac{\deg \chi_j}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} tr_{K_j|\mathbb{Q}}(\chi_j(g)) \cdot g. \tag{1.4}$$

2. Decomposition of the isotypical components

Let G be a finite group acting on an abelian variety A with isotypical decomposition $\mu : A_1 \times \dots \times A_r \to A$. The components A_i correspond one to one to the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}-$representations W_i of G. In order to avoid indices, let A^0 denote one of them. Let W denote the corresponding irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation and Q the associated simple $\mathbb{Q}-$subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ with unit element e_W given by equations (1.1) and (1.4). According to Schur's Lemma

$$D := \mathrm{End}_G(W)$$

is a skew-field of finite dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then W is a finite dimensional left-vector space over D and we have

$$Q \simeq \mathrm{End}_D(W). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $n := \dim_D(W)$. Choosing a $D-$basis of W identifies $\mathrm{End}_D(W)$ with the matrix ring $M(n \times n, D)$. Let $E_i \in M(n \times n, D)$ denote the matrix with entry 1 at the place (i,i) and 0 elsewhere and let $p_i \in Q$ denote the element corresponding to it under the isomorphism (2.1). Then $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is a set of primitive orthogonal idempotents in $Q \subset \mathbb{Q}[G]$ with

$$e_W = p_1 + \dots + p_n. \tag{2.2}$$

Consider the abelian subvarieties $B_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (p_i)$ of A^0 as defined at the beginning of Section 1. Equation (2.2) immediately implies that the addition map

$$\mu : B_1 \times \ldots \times B_n \to A^0$$

is an isogeny. The idempotents $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ are certainly conjugate to each other in the algebra Q, since

$$E_i = P_{ij} E_j P_{ij}^{-1}$$

where $P_{ij} \in M(n \times n, D)$ denotes the permutation matrix corresponding to the transposition (i, j). This implies that $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ are pairwise isogenous. Hence we obtain

Proposition 2.1: *Let $A^0 \neq 0$ be the isotypical component of an abelian variety A with G-action associated to an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}-$representation W and $n := \dim_D(W)$ with $D := \mathrm{End}_G(W)$. Then there is an abelian subvariety B of A^0 such that A^0 is isogenous to B^n:*

$$A^0 \sim B^n.$$

.

Combining Propositions 1.1 and 2.1 we obtain

Theorem 2.2: *Let G be a finite group acting on an abelian variety A. Let $W_1, \ldots, W_r$ denote the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G and $n_i := \dim_{D_i}(W_i)$ with $D_i := \mathrm{End}_G(W_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then there are abelian subvarieties $B_1, \ldots, B_r$ of A and an isogeny*

$$A \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r}.$$

We call this decomposition an *isogeny decomposition of A with respect to G*. Note that the abelian subvarieties B_i of A are only determined up to isogeny. Note moreover that the abelian varieties B_i might be zero. For example if one starts with an isotypical component A_i, its isogeny decomposition is just $A_i \sim B_i^{n_i}$. So even then one gets a proper decomposition if only $n_i > 1$.

As elements of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ the idempotents p_i of (2.2) are of the form

$$p_i = \sum_{g \in G} r_g^i \ g$$

with rational numbers r_g^i. In special cases one can be more precise. Suppose W is an absolutely irreducible representation of G, that is the extension $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ of W is an irreducible $\mathbb{C}-$representation. Hence $D = \mathbb{Q}$ and W is of dimension n over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case W admits up to a positive constant a uniquely determined $G-$invariant scalar product (see [Se]). Fix one of these and denote it by $(\ ,\ )$, let $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ be a basis of W, orthogonal with respect to $(\ ,\ )$, and define

$$p_{w_i} := \frac{n}{|G| \cdot \|w_i\|^2} \sum_{g \in G} (w_i, g w_i)\ g.$$

It was communicated to us by Gabriele Nebe that Schur's character relations can be translated into terms of idempotents as follows

Proposition 2.3: *$p_{w_1}, \ldots, p_{w_n}$ are orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ satisfying*

$$p_{w_1} + \ldots + p_{w_n} = e_W.$$

Proof: For any $g \in G$ let $(r_{ij}(g))_{i,j}$ denote the matrix of g with respect to the basis $\{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ of W. Schur's character relations are (see [Se], Chapter 2, Corollary 3 of Proposition 4)

$$\sum_{g \in G} r_{ij}(g^{-1}) r_{kl}(g) = \frac{|G|}{n} \ \delta_{il} \ \delta_{jk}$$

where δ_{ij} is equal to 1 if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise. Now

$$(w_i, gw_i) = (g^{-1}w_i, w_i) = (\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{ij}(g^{-1}) w_j, w_i) = r_{ii}(g^{-1}) \|w_i\|^2$$

and thus applying the character relations we obtain the following matrix equation

$$\left(\left(\sum_{g \in G} (w_i, gw_i) r_{kl}(g) \right)_{k,l} \right) = \|w_i\|^2 \left(\left(\sum_{g \in G} r_{ii}(g^{-1}) r_{kl}(g) \right)_{k,l} \right) = \|w_i\|^2 \frac{|G|}{n} \cdot E_i$$

where E_i denotes the matrix of above. Since the matrices E_i are idempotents in the algebra $M(n \times n, \mathbb{Q})$ with $E_1 + \cdots + E_n = I_n$, this implies the assertion. $\square$

Remark 2.4: Proposition 2.3 is valid in slightly more generality, namely for all irreducible $\mathbb{Q}-$representations which remain irreducible over the field of *real* numbers. In fact, in [M] Mérindol gives another proof of Proposition 2.3, which is valid for all $\mathbb{Q}-$representations which admit only a $1-$dimensional space of $G-$invariant symmetric bilinear form. Note that a $\mathbb{Q}-$representation is of this kind if and only if it remains irreducible when extended to a real representation.

3. Jacobians with group action

In this section we apply the previous results to the Jacobian varieties of algebraic curves. The curve X is assumed to be smooth, irreducible, projective, defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and of genus $g_X \geq 2$. We will denote by $JX = H^0(X, \omega_X)^\vee / H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ its Jacobian variety, which is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Pic}^0 X = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

In the first two sections we considered group actions on unpolarized abelian varieties. Here it will be important that the Jacobians are polarized abelian varieties. In fact, the intersection product on $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induces a canonical principal polarization $H = c_1(L)$ on JX. Here L denotes the line bundle on JX defined by the theta divisor. Its first Chern class H can be considered as a positive definite hermitian form on the tangent space $T_0 JX$ whose imaginary part E is integer valued on the lattice $\Lambda = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The polarization H induces an isomorphism $\phi_H : JX \xrightarrow{\simeq} \widehat{JX}$ of JX onto its dual abelian variety $\widehat{JX}$. An automorphism $g : JX \to JX$ in the sequel always means a polarization preserving one meaning that $g^*L \approx L$ (algebraically equivalent) or equivalently that $\phi_{g^*H} = \phi_H$. Note that $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ preserves the polarization H if and only if $H(gv, gw) = H(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in T_0JX$.

If a curve X has a non trivial group of automorphisms, then by Torelli's theorem we have:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Aut}(JX) & \text{if } X \text{ is hyperelliptic,} \\ \mathrm{Aut}(JX)/\{\pm 1\} & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

One knows that $|\mathrm{Aut}(X)| \leq 84(g_X - 1)$ and also that *any* finite group G is a group of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface (see [H]).

Let us now consider a curve X with group action $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}X$, so G acts on the Jacobian JX. According to Section 1 the tangent space of JX at 0 decomposes as follows

$$T_0JX = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_r,$$

where U_i denote the G-subspaces of T_0JX associated to the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations W_i of G. If $\Lambda = H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then

$$A_i = U_i/\Lambda \cap U_i \tag{3.1}$$

are abelian subvarieties of JX and from Proposition 1.1 we know that the addition map

$$\mu : A_1 \times \ldots \times A_r \to JX \tag{3.2}$$

is an isogeny. Recall from Proposition 2.1 that if $n_i = \dim_{D_i} W_i$ where $D_i = \mathrm{End}_G W_i$, then A_i is isogenous to the n_i-fold product of an abelian subvariety B_i with itself, $A_i \sim B_i^{n_i}$. So the isogeny decomposition of JX is:

$$JX \sim B_1^{n_1} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r}. \tag{3.3}$$

Our aim is to deduce an isogeny decomposition of JX from the lattice of subgroups H of G and the induced actions. In the sequel we always denote by V_1 the trivial and by $V_2, \ldots, V_s$ the nontrivial irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representations of G and similarly by W_1 the trivial and by $W_2, \ldots, W_r$ the nontrivial $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G. Then $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W_i$ is the direct sum

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} W_i = V_{j_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{j_{s_i}}$$

of some of the V_j's (to each j there is a unique i), with $s_i = d_i m_i$ where d_i is as at the end of Section 1 and m_i is the Schur index of the representation W_i.

First we consider the question which of the abelian varieties B_i in (3.1) are nonzero. The next theorem implies that if the genus of the quotient $Y = X/G$ is ≥ 2, then $B_i \neq 0$ for all i.

Theorem 3.1: *Given a Galois covering of smooth projective curves $\pi : X \to Y$, with group G, all irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G appear in the isotypical decomposition of JX if $g_Y \geq 2$.*

For this we need the following lemma which reflects the fact that $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$ may be considered as a sheafified version of the regular representation of G:

Lemma 3.2: *Let X be a smooth projective curve with an action of G. Denote by $Y = X/G$ the quotient curve and by $\pi : X \longrightarrow Y$ the covering map. Then*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus (V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{V_2}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (V_s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{V_s}) \tag{3.4}$$

where the $\mathcal{E}_{V_i}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules such that $rk\mathcal{E}_{V_i} = \dim V_i$ and the representations V_i are as above.

Proof: $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is torsion free and thus locally free of rank $n = \#G$, since X is a curve. The action of G on the curve X induces an action on the sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ over the trivial action of G on Y. Let $\mathbb{C}(X)$ respectively $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ denote the function fields of X and Y. For any G-invariant open affine set $U = Spec(R) \subset Y$ trivializing $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$, the action of $G = Gal(\mathbb{C}(X)/\mathbb{C}(Y))$ on $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(U) = R^n \subset \mathbb{C}(Y)^n$ is the restriction of the action of G on $\mathbb{C}(Y)^n = \mathbb{C}(X)$, which is the regular representation of G. Since this is independent of U, we get if we sheafify

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus (V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{V_2}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (V_s \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{V_s})$$

where the $\mathcal{E}_{V_i}$ are locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules such that $rk\mathcal{E}_{V_i} = dimV_i$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1: From (3.4) we deduce that

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^1(Y, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{s} H^1(Y, \mathcal{E}_{V_j})^{\dim V_j}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $h^1(Y, \mathcal{E}_{V_j}) > 0$ for all $i \geq 2$, since then all representations W_i will appear in the isotypical decomposition of T_0JX.
By Grothendieck duality (see [K]) one knows that $(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \cong \pi_* \omega_{X/Y}$, where $\omega_{X/Y}$ denotes the relative dualizing sheaf. Since π is a finite morphism, we know that $\pi_* \omega_{X/Y}$ is nef (see [V]). Hence all quotients of $(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee$ have non negative degree. Since

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{s} (V_j \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}_{V_j})^\vee$$

it follows that $\deg(\mathcal{E}_{V_j}^\vee) \geq 0$.
On the other hand from (3.4) and $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \mathbb{C} \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(Y, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)$ we deduce $h^0(Y, \mathcal{E}_{V_j}) = \{0\}$ for $j = 2, \ldots, s$. So from Riemann-Roch we obtain

$$h^1(Y, \mathcal{E}_{V_j}) = -\deg \mathcal{E}_{V_j} + \dim V_j (g_Y - 1) > 0$$

if $g_Y \geq 2$. □

We may assume that each B_i is an abelian subvariety of JX. In fact, B_i may be considered as the image of an endomorphism of JX. We give now correspondences on X which induce such endomorphisms.
Given our Galois cover of curves $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ with Galois group G, for each $g \in G$ we consider its graph:

$$\Gamma_g = \{(x, gx);\ x \in X\} \subset X \times X.$$

Given any element $p = \sum_{g \in G} n_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ we consider the divisor

$$D := \sum_{g \in G} n_g \Gamma_g \in \mathrm{Div}(X \times X)$$

which defines a correspondence on X, namely:

$$X \to \mathrm{Div}^{\sum n_g}(X), \quad x \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} n_g g(x).$$

Let

$$\gamma_D : JX \to JX.$$

be the induced endomorphism. In other words $\gamma_D = \rho(\sum_{g \in G} n_g g)$.

An example of this is when $n_g = 1$ for all $g \in G$. In this case we have $\sum_{g \in G} gx = \pi^*(\pi x)$, so it follows that Im $\gamma_D = \pi^* JY$ for $D = \sum_{g \in G} \Gamma_g$.

For every irreducible $\mathbb{Q}-$representation W_i of G let $e_{W_i} = p_{i,1} + \cdots + p_{i,n_i}$ denote the decomposition (2.2) of e_{W_i} into a sum of primitive orthogonal idempotents of the simple subalgebra $Q_i \subset \mathbb{Q}[G]$ associated to W_i. Fix positive integers m_i such that

$$q_i := m_i p_{i,1} = \sum_{g \in G} n_g^i g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]. \tag{3.5}$$

Then if

$$B_i := \mathrm{Im}\ (p_{i,1}),$$

we conclude

Proposition 3.3: $B_i = Im\ \gamma_{\Sigma n_g^i \Gamma_g}$.

Let us now consider a morphism of curves $f : X \to Y$ of degree d. Let $H = H_X$ denote the canonical principal polarization of JX. We define the *complement* of $f^* JY$ on JX with respect to H as

$$P := P(X/Y) := \ker(\widehat{f^*} \circ \phi_H)^\circ = \ker(Nmf)^\circ.$$

where $Nmf : JX \to JY$ denotes the norm map. We call P the *Prym variety* of f (even if it is not a Prym variety in the usual sense, i.e. if the principal polarization does not restrict to a multiple of a principal polarization on P). Denote by H_P the induced polarization on P and observe that the induced polarization on $f^* JY$ is $d \cdot H_Y$ (see [LB]). The map

$$\sigma : (JY, d \cdot H_Y) \times (P(X/Y), H_P) \to (JX, H), \quad (a, b) \to f^* a + b$$

is an isogeny of polarized abelian varieties. Applying a simple induction this yields:

Proposition 3.4: *For a tower of curves* $X \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_2 \rightarrow \ldots \xrightarrow{f_r} X_r$*, denote* $g_i = f_i \circ \ldots \circ f_1$*. Then the decomposition*

$$T_0JX = T_0P(X/X_1) \oplus (dg_1^*)_0 T_0P(X_1/X_2) \oplus \ldots \oplus (dg_{r-1}^*)_0 T_0P(X_{r-1}/X_r) \oplus (dg_r^*)_0 T_0JX_r$$

is H*-orthogonal.* $\square$

We can say more about the role of the trivial representation of G in the isogeny decomposition (3.3). Since the action of the group G on JX is induced by the action of G on the curve X, G preserves the canonical polarization H. Hence the isotypical decomposition

$$T_0JX \cong U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_r$$

is H-orthogonal. We always assume that U_1 is the G-subspace of T_0JX associated to the trivial representation. On the other hand if $\pi : X \rightarrow Y = X/G$ as above, by Proposition 3.4 the decomposition

$$T_0JX \cong (d\pi^*)_0(T_0JY) \oplus T_0P(X/Y)$$

is H-orthogonal. Since we have seen above that

$$(d\pi^*)_0(T_0JY) \cong U_1$$

and since both decompositions of T_0JX are H-orthogonal, this implies

$$T_0P(X/Y) \cong \bigoplus_{i=2}^{r} U_i.$$

We call this argument *orthogonal cancellation.*

With the notation of (3.1) and (3.2) it follows from this that

$$\pi^*JY = A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad P(X/Y) \sim A_2 \times \ldots \times A_r$$

with $A_1 = \operatorname{Im} \sum_{g \in G} g$ and $n_1 = 1$.

One can also get information about the abelian subvarieties $B_i \subset JX$ for the non trivial representations of G. For this let M be a subgroup of G, $X_M = X/M$ and $\varphi : X \rightarrow X_M$ the quotient map. For $g \in G$ we consider the divisor

$$\overline{\Gamma}_g := (\varphi \times \varphi)_* \Gamma_g \in \operatorname{Div}(X_M \times X_M).$$

Since $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ for $x, y \in X$ if and only if there is an $h \in M$ such that $y = hx$, $\overline{\Gamma}_g$ induces the map:

$$\overline{g} : X_M \longrightarrow \operatorname{Div}^{|M|}(X_M) \qquad\qquad z \mapsto \overline{g}(z) = \sum_{h \in M} \varphi(ghx)$$

where $x \in X$ is such that $\varphi(x) = z$. This definition does not depend on the choice of x. More generally, given a divisor $D = \sum n_g \Gamma_g \in \operatorname{Div}(X \times X)$, consider the divisor

$\overline{D} = \sum_{g \in G} n_g \overline{\Gamma}_g \in \mathrm{Div}(X_M \times X_M)$. Denote by $\gamma_D \in \mathrm{End}(JX)$ and $\gamma_{\overline{D}} \in \mathrm{End}(JX_M)$ the induced endomorphisms. Then we have

Proposition 3.5: *If for each $g \in G$ one has $n_g = n_{gh} = n_{hg}$ for all $h \in M$, then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
JX \arrow[r, "\gamma_D"] \arrow[d, "Nm\varphi"'] & JX \arrow[d, "Nm\varphi"] \\
JX_M \arrow[r, "\frac{1}{|M|}\gamma_{\bar{D}}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^*"'] & JX_M \arrow[d, "\varphi^*"] \\
JX \arrow[r, "\gamma_D"] & JX
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_D = \varphi^* \mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{\overline{D}} \subset \varphi^* JX_M$.

Proof. Given $x \in X$, let $z = \varphi(x) \in X_M$. The commutativity of the upper square follows from:

$$\sum_{g \in G} n_g \overline{g}(z) = \sum_{g \in G} n_g \sum_{h \in M} \varphi(ghx) = \sum_{h \in M} \sum_{g \in G} n_g \varphi(ghx) = |M| \cdot \sum_{g \in G} n_g \varphi(gx).$$

Here the last equality is a consequence of the conditions satisfied by the coefficients. A similar computation shows that the lower square is commutative. The last assertion follows from the equation

$$\varphi^* \bar{D}(z) = \sum_{k \in M} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{h \in M} n_g kgh(x) = |M|^2 \cdot D(x) \qquad \square$$

Corollary 3.6: *Let $q_i := m_i p_{i,1} = \sum_{g \in G} n^i_g g \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ for $i \geq 2$ be as in* (3.5) *and denote $D_i = \sum_{g \in G} n^i_g \Gamma_g$. If $q_i h = h q_i = q_i$ for all $h \in M$, then*

$$B_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (p_{i,1}) = \mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} \subset \varphi^* P(X_M/Y).$$

Proof: By Proposition 3.3 we have $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} = \mathrm{Im}\ (q_i)$. Since $q_i \cdot \sum_{g \in G} g = 0$ in $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, it follows that $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} \subset A_2 \times \ldots \times A_r$, hence by orthogonal cancellation $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} \subset P(X/Y)$. The hypotheses on q_i just mean that the assumptions of Proposition 3.5 are fullfilled and we obtain $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} \subset \varphi^* JX_M$, hence $\mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{D_i} \subset (\varphi^* JX_M \cap P(X/Y))^0 = \varphi^* P(X_M/Y)$. $\square$

Observe that we have $B_i \sim \mathrm{Im}\ \gamma_{\bar{D}_i} \subset P(X_M/Y)$. So a natural question arises here: Given the decomposition (3.3)

$$JX \sim JY \times B_2^{n_2} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r}.$$

Is there an underlying decomposition for $P(X_M/Y)$? Observe that this is obvious if the abelian varieties B_i are simple, which need not be the case. However we can show the following theorem which gives an answer to this question in the slightly more general case of an intermediate cover.

Proposition 3.7: *Given a Galois cover $\pi : X \to Y = X/G$ and subgroups $M \subset N \subset G$, let $\psi : X_M \to X_N$ be the associated cover where $X_N = X/N$ and $X_M = X/M$. Let*

$JX \sim JY \times B_2^{n_2} \times \ldots \times B_r^{n_r}$ be the decomposition of above. Then there exist non negative integers $s_i,\ i = 2, \ldots, r$ such that

$$P(X_M/X_N) \sim B_2^{s_2} \times \ldots \times B_r^{s_r}.$$

Proof: Given the subgroups $M \subset N \subset G$ we have the tower of curves:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[dr, "\varphi"] \arrow[ddd, "\pi"'] & \\
& X_M \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
& X_N \arrow[dl, "\eta"] \\
Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

First we claim that it suffices to show that

$$JX_M \sim JY \times B_2^{t_2} \times \cdots \times B_r^{t_r} \tag{3.6}$$

with nonnegative integers $t_2, \ldots, t_r$ for every subgroup M of G.
To see this note that then we have the $H-$orthogonal decompositions

$$T_0JX_M \cong T_0JY \oplus T_0(B_2^{t_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_0(B_r^{t_r})$$

$$T_0JX_N \cong T_0JY \oplus T_0(B_2^{t_2'}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_0(B_r^{t_r'})$$

with nonnegative integers $t_i' \leq t_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. On the other hand

$$T_0JX_M \cong T_0JX_N \oplus T_0P(X_M/X_N).$$

Hence by orthogonal cancellation we obtain

$$T_0(B_2^{t_2'}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_0(B_r^{t_r'}) \oplus T_0P(X_M/X_N) \cong T_0(B_2^{t_2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus T_0(B_r^{t_r})$$

This gives the assertion, with $s_i = t_i - t_i'$.
For the proof of (3.6) note that from invariant theory we know that

$$(d\varphi^*)_0 T_0JX_M = (T_0JX)^M.$$

Hence T_0JX_M corresponds to the induced representation $ind_{1_M}^G$ of the trivial representation of M in G considered as a subrepresentation of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. Since JY and the B_i correspond to the trivial and nontrivial irreducible $\mathbb{Q}-$representations of G, it follows that T_0JX_M is a direct sum of T_0JY and some T_0B_i's, where T_0JY occurs only once since it corresponds to the trivial representation of G. This implies the assertion. $\square$

For the following proposition let the notation be as in Proposition 3.7 and recall that $W_2, \ldots, W_r$ denote the nontrivial irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of G. Every irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representation is contained in exactly one irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation. Hence for every W_i we may choose an irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representation associated to W_i and denote it by V_i.

Proposition 3.8: *Suppose for some* $i \geq 2$

$$\dim V_j^M - \dim V_j^N = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad j = i \\ 0 & \phantom{\text{if}} \quad j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

Then $$P(X_M/X_N) \sim B_i.$$

Proof: By assumption V_i contains a fixed point of M which is not a fixed point of N. Hence also the conjugate representations of V_i over $\mathbb{Q}$ contain such a fixed point. This implies that also W_i contains a fixed point, say w_1, of M which is not a fixed point of N. Choosing w_1 as the first vector of the D_i-basis $w_1, \dots, w_{n_i}$ of W_i (where $D_i = \mathrm{End}_G(W_i)$), all $h \in M$ are of the form $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h' \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to this basis. The idempotent $p = p_{i,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $hp = ph = p$ for all $h \in M$. According to Corollary 3.6 the abelian subvariety $B_i = \mathrm{Im}\ (p)$ is contained in $\varphi^* P(X_N/Y)$. Since B_i is contained in $\varphi^* JX_M$ and not in $(\psi\varphi)^* JX_N$, this implies that

$$B_i \subset P(X_M/X_N).$$

In a similar way the assumption $V_j^M = V_j^N$ for $j \neq i$ implies that none of the $B_j,\ \ j \neq i$ is contained in $P(X_M/X_N)$, that is $s_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ in the decomposition of Proposition 3.7.

It remains to show that $s_i = 1$. But $s_i \geq 2$ would imply that W_i admits two fixed points of M which are linearly independent over D_i. This contradicts the assumption $\dim V_i^M = \dim V_i^N + 1$. □

A method for computing the decomposition of the Jacobian JX of a curve X with group action was given in [SA] in the case $G = A_5$. Proposition 3.8 allows us to deduce a generalization of this method which will be useful for computing other examples. The starting point is the following lemma. Here for any subgroup $M \subset G$ we denote by $\chi_{ind_{1_M}^G}$ the character of the induced representation $ind_{1_M}^G$ of the trivial representation of M in G.

Lemma 3.9: *Let G be a finite group, $V_1 (= \mathbb{C}), V_2, \dots, V_s$ its irreducible complex representations. Let $M \subset N \subset G$ be subgroups. If we have for some $1 \leq l \leq s$:*

$$\chi_{ind_{1_M}^G} - \chi_{ind_{1_N}^G} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i^M \cdot \chi_{V_i}, \tag{3.7}$$

then

$$V_j^N = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq j \leq l \\ V_j^M & \phantom{\text{if}} \quad l+1 \leq j \leq s. \end{cases}$$

In other words, if we write

$$ind_{1_M}^G = ind_{1_N}^G \oplus V_2^{\oplus b_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_l^{\oplus b_l}$$

with $V_1, \dots, V_l$ irreducible complex representations and positive integers b_i, then the assumption (3.7) implies that none of the V_i's appears in the representation $ind^G_{1_N}$.

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_j^N &= < \chi_{V_j}, \chi_{ind^G_{1_N}} > \\
&= < \chi_{V_j}, \chi_{ind^G_{1_M}} - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \dim V_i^M \cdot \chi_{V_i} > \\
&= \dim V_j^M - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \dim V_i^M < \chi_{V_j}, \chi_{V_i} > \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ 1 \leq j \leq l \\ \dim V_j^M & \text{if } \ l < j \leq s. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.10: *Let X be a curve with G as a group of automorphisms and $M \subset N \subset G$ subgroups. Let B_j, W_j and V_j be as at the beginning of this section and let $V_{j,1} = V_j, V_{j,2}, \dots, V_{j,d_j}$ denote the nonisomorphic irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representations in $W_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Assume that for some $i \geq 2$ we have*
(i) $\dim V_i^M = 1$ *and*
(ii) $\chi_{ind^G_{1_M}} - \chi_{ind^G_{1_N}} = \sum_{k=1}^{d_i} \chi_{V_{i,k}}$.
Then

$$P(X_M/X_N) \sim B_i.$$

Proof: Observe first that $\dim(V_{i,k})^M = \dim V_i^M = 1$, for all k, $1 \leq k \leq d_i$, since the representation $V_{i,k}$ is conjugate to V_i over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence by Lemma 3.9 we have:

$$\dim(V_{j,k})^N = \begin{cases} 0 & \\ & \text{if} \\ \dim(V_{j,k})^M & \end{cases} \begin{matrix} j = i \\ \\ j \neq i. \end{matrix}$$

So Proposition 3.8 implies $P(X_M/X_N) \sim B_i$. □

4. Examples

Let X be a smooth projective curve with G-action, $\pi : X \to Y = X/G$ the corresponding Galois covering. If W denotes an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation, we will denote by A_W the isotypical component of JX corresponding to W. If $D = \mathrm{End}_G(W)$ and $n = \dim_D(W)$ we know by Proposition 2.1 that there is an abelian subvariety B_W of A_W such that

$$A_W \sim B_W^n.$$

Note that A_W is uniquely determined whereas B_W is not in general. The aim of this section is to apply the above results to identify up to isogeny the abelian subvarieties B_W in terms of the group action. In most cases we identify them in terms of Prym varieties corresponding to subgroups $M \subset N \subset G$. We will see however that this is not the case for the dihedral group D_{2p} of order $4p$ where $p > 3$ is a prime. We apply for this Corollary 3.10 several times and have to verify conditions (i) and (ii) in each case. Note that the Prym varieties and similarly the subgroups $M \subset N \subset G$ giving the abelian subvarieties B_i are not unique in general.

The examples, except the quaternion group, are treated elsewhere, S_3 in [RR1], S_4 in [RR2], A_5 in [SA], and D_n in [CRR], but with adhoc methods, i.e. without the use of Corollary 3.10, which was inspired by [SA].

4.1. The symmetric group S_4

The irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of S_4 are the trivial representation U, the alternating representation U' (both of dimension 1), the standard representation V, the representation $V \otimes U'$ (both of dimension 3) and a 2-dimensional representation W which is the pullback of the standard representation of S_3 via the canonical homomorphism $S_4 \longrightarrow S_3 = S_4/K$, where K denotes the Klein subgroup. Since every irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation of S_4 is absolutely irreducible, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}[S_4] \simeq U \oplus U' \oplus W^2 \oplus V^3 \oplus (V \otimes U')^3.$$

as $\mathbb{Q}[S_4]$-modules. So if X denotes a curve with S_4-action, Propositions 1.1 and 2.1 yield

$$JX \sim A_U \times A_{U'} \times A_W \times A_V \times A_{V\otimes U'}$$

$$A_U = B_U \ , \ A_{U'} = B_{U'} \ , \ A_W \sim B_W^2 \ , \ A_V \sim B_V^3 \ , \ A_{V\otimes U'} \sim B_{V\otimes U'}^3.$$

We already saw in Section 3 that for $Y := X/S_4$:

$$A_U \sim JY.$$

It remains to identify $A_{U'}, B_W, B_V$ and $B_{V\otimes U'}$ up to isogeny in terms of Prym varieties.

First we have

$$\chi_{ind_{1_{A_4}}^{S_4}} = \chi_{ind_{1_{S_4}}^{S_4}} + \chi_{U'} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(U')^{A_4} = 1.$$

So Corollary 3.10 implies

$$A_{U'} \sim P(X_{A_4}/Y).$$

Let S_3 denote the stabilizer of a symbol, say 1, in S_4. It is related to the standard representation V as follows

$$\chi_{ind_{1_{S_3}}^{S_4}} = \chi_{ind_{1_{S_4}}^{S_4}} + \chi_V \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V^{S_3} = 1.$$

So Corollary 3.10 gives

$$A_V \sim P(X_{S_3}/Y)$$

Let D_4 denote the subgroup of S_4 generated by the cycles (13) and (1234), consider the subgroup $Z := <(1234)>$ and compute the character table

	1	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12)(34)
$\chi_{ind_{1_Z}^{S_4}}$	6	0	0	2	2
$\chi_{ind_{1_{D_4}}^{S_4}}$	3	1	0	1	3
$\chi_{V\otimes U'}$	3	-1	0	1	-1

It is easy to check that

$$\chi_{ind_{1_{D_4}}^{S_4}} = \chi_{ind_{1_{S_4}}^{S_4}} + \chi_W \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^{D_4} = 1.$$

So Corollary 3.10 gives

$$B_W \sim P(X_{D_4}/Y).$$

Finally we deduce from the above character table

$$\chi_{ind_{1_Z}^{S_4}} = \chi_{ind_{1_{D_4}}^{S_4}} + \chi_{V\otimes U'}.$$

Moreover, since $\dim(V\otimes U')^Z = 1$, we may apply Corollary 3.10 to get

$$B_{V\otimes U'} \sim P(X_Z/X_{D_4}).$$

Combining everything we obtain with the notation as above

Proposition 5.1: $JX \sim JY \times P(X_{A_4}/Y) \times P(D_4/Y)^2 \times P(X_{S_3}/Y)^3 \times P(X_Z/X_{D_4})^3$

4.2. The groups A_5 and D_p

The same method as outlined in Section 5.1 works also in the case of the alternating group A_5 and the dihedral group D_p, for an odd prime p. We do not give the details, but only state the results.

The alternating group A_5 has 5 irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representations, the trivial one U, a 4-dimensional V and a 5-dimensional W, all defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, and two 3-dimensional ones T_1 and T_2 such that $T := T_1 \oplus T_2$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence if X is a curve with A_5-action, we have

$$JX \sim JY \oplus B_V^4 \oplus B_W^5 \oplus B_T^3.$$

Proceeding as in the previous example one gets

$$B_V \sim P(X_{A_4}/Y) \ , \ B_W \sim P(X_{D_5}/Y) \text{ and } B_T \sim P(X_{Z_5}/X_{D_5}),$$

where $A_4 =< (23)(45), (345) >$, $D_5 =< (12345), (25)(34) >$ and $Z_5 =< (12345) >$.

Let p be an odd prime. The dihedral group $D_p :=< r, s \ : \ r^p = s^2 = (rs)^2 = 1 >$ has two 1-dimensional representations U (trivial) and U' and $\frac{p-1}{2}$ irreducible complex representations of dimension 2:

$$V_i(r^k) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{ik} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^{-ik} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_i(sr^k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-ik} \\ \omega^{ik} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$. There is an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representation W such that $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} := V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. So as before if X is a curve with D_p-action we have

$$JX \sim JY \times B_{U'} \times B_W^2.$$

Proceeding as in the previous cases we get

$$B_{U'} \sim P(X_{<r>}/Y) \ \text{ and } \ B_W \sim P(X_{<s>}/Y).$$

4.3. The quaternion group

For the quaternion group $Q_8 := \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm ij\}$, $\ i^2 = j^2 = (ij)^2 = -1$ we cannot apply Corollary 3.10, but we can still use orthogonal cancellation.

The center of Q_8 is $< -1 >$ and we observe that $Q_8/ < -1 > \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Q_8 has four 1-dimensional irreducible rational representations:

	1	-1	$i, -i$	$j, -j$	$ij, -ij$
U	1	1	1	1	1
U_i	1	1	1	-1	-1
U_j	1	1	-1	1	-1
U_{ij}	1	1	-1	-1	1

and a 2-dimensional complex irreducible representation V:

$$i \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad j \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The associated irreducible representation over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $W := \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the quaternion algebra (-1,-1) over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \simeq V^2$. So if X denotes a curve with Q_8-action, Propositions 1.1 and 2.1 yield

$$JX \sim JY \oplus B_{U_i} \oplus B_{U_j} \oplus B_{U_{ij}} \oplus B_W.$$

We have a diagram of coverings of curves:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& X \arrow[d] & \\
& X_{<-1>} \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
X_{<i>} \arrow[dr] & X_{<j>} \arrow[d] & X_{<ij>} \arrow[dl] \\
& Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $< -1 > \lhd Q_8$ is a normal subgroup, Q_8 acts on $X_{<-1>}$ (as $Q_8/ < -1 >$) hence on $P(X/X_{<-1>})$ and on $JX_{<-1>}$, and the 1-dimensional irreducible rational representations of Q_8 are the pullbacks via $Q_8 \to Q_8/ < -1 > \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ of the four irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. So by orthogonal cancellation the isotypical decomposition of JX splits and gives:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_U \times A_{U_i} \times A_{U_j} \times A_{U_{ij}} & \sim & JX_{<-1>} \\ A_V & \sim & P(X/X_{<-1>}). \end{array}$$

In the case of a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-action it is easy to see (see for example [RR2]) that

$$JX_{<-1>} \sim JY \times P(X_{<i>}/Y) \times P(X_{<j>}/Y) \times P(X_{<ij>}/Y)$$

is the isotypical decomposition. We obtain

Proposition 5.2: *The isotypical decomposition of JX is:*

$$JX \sim JY \times P(X_{<i>}/Y) \times P(X_{<j>}/Y) \times P(X_{<ij>}/Y) \times P(X/X_{<-1>}).$$

4.4. The dihedral group D_{2p}

Finally we give an example of a Jacobian for which not all B's are Pryms of intermediate coverings.

Let X be a curve with D_{2p}-action, where $D_{2p} =< r, s \ : \ r^{2p} = s^2 = (rs)^2 = 1 >$ with $p > 3$ prime. Recall that D_{2p} has 4 1-dimensional irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations $W_1, \ldots, W_4$ and $p-1$ 2-dimensional irreducible complex representations $V_1, \ldots V_{p-1}$ defined as in (4.1) where now ω is a primitive $2p$-th root of unity. If we define

$$W_5 = \oplus_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} V_{2i-1} \ \text{ and } \ W_6 = \oplus_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} V_{2i},$$

then $W_1, \ldots, W_6$ are the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of D_{2p}. According to Propositions 1.1 and 2.1 we get

$$JX \sim JY \times B_2 \times B_3 \times B_4 \times B_5^2 \times B_6^2$$

with

$$B_2 = P(X_{<r>}/Y), \ \ B_3 = P(X_{D_p}/Y), \ \ B_4 = P(X_{\tilde{D}_p}/Y) \ \text{ and } \ B_6 = P(X_{D_2}/Y),$$

where $D_p =< s, r^2 >$, $\tilde{D}_p =< r^p s, r^2 >$ and $D_2 =< 1, s, r^p, r^p s >$.

By looking at $T_0 P(X_M/X_N)$ for all subgroups $M \subset N \subset D_{2p}$, one can see that none of those tangent spaces can be represented only in terms of W_5, so B_5 cannot be an intermediate Prym variety. In fact

$$B_5 \sim (P(X_{<s>}/X_{D_p}) \cap P(X_{<s>}/X_{D_2}))^0 \subset JX_{<s>}$$

which can also be described as the orthogonal complement of $q^* P(X_{D_s}/Y)$ in $P(X_{<s>}/X_{D_2})$ with respect to the induced polarization, where $q : X_{<s>} \to X_{D_s}$. This example shows that one has to search other geometric objects coming from the G-action on the curve (see [LR]).

References

[CW] Chevalley, C., Weil, A.: Über das Verhalten der Integrale erster Gattung bei Automorphismen des Funktionenkörpers, Hamb. Abh. 10 (1934), 358 - 361.

[CRR] Carocca, A., Recillas, S., Rodríguez, R.: Dihedral groups acting on Jacobians, Contemp. Math. 311 (2002) 41-77.

[D] Donagi, R.: Decomposition of spectral covers, Astérisque 218 (1993), 145 - 175.

[DM] Donagi, R., Markman, E.: Spectral covers, algebraically completely integrable Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles, in: Integrable Systems and Quantum groups, Springer LNM 1620 (1196), 1 - 119.

[H] Hurwitz, A.: Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich, Math. Ann. 41, (1893), 403-442.

[K] Kleiman, S. L.: Relative duality for quasi-coherent sheaves, Comp. Math. 41 (1980), 39 - 60.

[LB] Lange, H., Birkenhake, Ch.: Complex Abelian Varieties. Grundlehren No. 317, Springer (1992).

[LR] Lange, H., Recillas, S.: Prym Varieties of Pairs of Coverings, to appear in Adv. in Geom.

[M] Mérindol, J.-Y.: Variétés de Prym d'un revêtement galoisien, J. reine Ang. Math. *461* (1995), 49-61.

[Re] Recillas, S.: La Jacobiana de la extension de Galois de una curva trigonal, Aport. Matem. Com. 14 (1994), 159 - 167.

[Ri] Ries, J.: The Prym variety for a cyclic unramified cover of a hyperelliptic curve, J. reine ang. Math. 340 (1983), 59 - 69.

[RR1] Recillas, S., Rodríguez, R.: Jacobians and representations of S_3, Aport. Mat. Invest. 13 (1998), 117 - 140.

[RR2] Recillas, S., Rodríguez, R.: Prym varieties and four fold covers, Publ. Preliminares Inst. Mat. Univ. Nac. Aut. Mexico, 686 (2001).

[S] Serre, J.-P.: Représentations linéaires des groupes finis, Herrmann (1967).

[SA] Sánchez-Argáez, A: Acciones del grupo A_5 en variedades jacobianas, Aport. Mat. Com. 25, (1999), 99-108.

[V] Viehweg, E.: Positivity of direct image sheaves and applications to families of higher dimensional manifolds, ICTP Lect. notes 6, 249-284, Trieste (2001).

[W] Wirtinger, W.: Untersuchungen über Theta Funktionen, Teubner, Berlin (1895).

Herbert Lange
Mathematisches Institut
Bismarckstr. 1 1/2
D-91054 Erlangen
Germany
e-mail: lange@mi.uni-erlangen.de

Sevin Recillas
Instituto de Matematicas
UNAM Campus Morelia
Morelia, Mich., 58089
México
email: sevin@matmor.unam.mx

and
CIMAT
Callejon de Jalisco s/n
Valenciana, Gto., 36000
México
e-mail: sevin@cimat.mx